

IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation – Data Sheet CGI_7

Datasheets can be downloaded for personal use only and must not be retransmitted or disseminated either electronically or in hardcopy without explicit written permission. The citation for this data sheet is: IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation, (<http://iupac.pole-ether.fr>).

This datasheet last evaluated: June 2015; last change in preferred values: June 2015

CH₂OO + CH₃CHO → products

Rate coefficient data

| $k/\text{cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ | Temp./K | Reference | Technique/Comments |
|--|---------|----------------------|------------------------|
| <i>Absolute Rate Coefficients</i> | | | |
| $(9.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-13}$ (4 Torr) | 293 | Taatjes et al., 2012 | PLP-PIMS (a) |
| $(1.48 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-12}$ (25 Torr) | 295 | Stone et al., 2014 | PLP-LIF/PIMS (b) |
| $\sim 2.2 \times 10^{-12}$ (50 Torr) | | | |
| $(1.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-12}$ (760 Torr) | 297 | Berndt et al., 2015 | Free-Jet FR-TOF-MS (c) |
| <i>Relative Rate Coefficients</i> | | | |
| $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-12}$ (730 Torr) | 295 | Horie et al., 1999 | Static system/FTIR (d) |

Comments

- (a) CH₂OO was produced by the reaction of CH₂I + O₂. CH₂I was generated by 248-nm laser photolysis of di-iodomethane, CH₂I₂, at 293 K and 4 Torr total pressure in a large excess of O₂. The reacting mixture was monitored by tunable synchrotron photoionization mass spectrometry, which allowed characterisation of the PIMS for CH₂OO and its reaction products over the region 9.5 – 11.5 eV. Time-resolved direct detection of CH₂OO at $m/z = 46$ amu. The measured decay constant of CH₂OO, which was linearly dependent on (excess) concentrations of acetaldehyde (up to 3.6×10^{14} molecule cm⁻³), was used to determine the rate coefficient. The uncertainty limits are 95 %. No secondary ozonide was observed in the reaction products for CH₂OO + CH₃CHO under reaction conditions but CH₃C(O)OH was identified as a product, probably formed by decomposition of secondary ozonide.
- (b) Photolysis of CH₂I₂-O₂-N₂ mixtures in the presence of excess acetaldehyde (0.2 to 1.0×10^{15} molecule cm⁻³), under pseudo-first-order conditions. Kinetics of CH₂OO + CH₃CHO reaction were followed by time-resolved monitoring of HCHO product by laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) spectroscopy. CH₂OO + CH₃CHO reaction rates were determined by fitting the single exponential growth of the fluorescence signal for different [CH₃CHO], and rate coefficients derived from a bimolecular plot at each total pressure (range: 25 – 300 Torr). The HCHO yields decreased with pressure indicating stabilisation of the initially formed ozonide.
- (c) Rate coefficients for the bimolecular reaction of CH₂OO with acetaldehyde have been experimentally determined at 1 bar and (297±1) K by using a free-jet flow system. CH₂OO was produced by the O₃ + C₂H₄ reaction and [CH₂OO] was measured indirectly by titrating with excess SO₂ and measurement of product H₂SO₄ by ToF-CIMS. k was determined by non-linear regression fitting a parameterized expression for the [CH₃CHO] dependence of the ratio [H₂SO₄]/[C₂H₄], assuming $k_{\text{uni}} = 0.19 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and appropriate uncertainty in the parameters. The value cited is close to the high-pressure limit calculated by Stone et al. (2014) from their direct measurements.

- (d) Ozonolysis of ethene studied in the presence of CH₃CHO. The relative rates of CH₂OO reaction with CH₃CHO and CF₃COCF₃ determined at 730 Torr in synthetic air using FT-IR spectroscopy to monitor the decay of CF₃COCF₃ and production of the secondary ozonide (methyl-1,2,4-trioxolane) from the reaction with CH₃CHO, leading to $k(\text{CH}_2\text{OO}+\text{CF}_3\text{COCF}_3)/k(\text{CH}_2\text{OO}+\text{CH}_3\text{CHO}) \approx 13$. The cited value of k is calculated using the pressure independent value for $k(\text{CH}_2\text{OO}+\text{CF}_3\text{COCF}_3) = 3.2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (IUPAC, current recommendation).

Preferred Values

| Parameter | Value | T/K |
|---|----------------------------------|-----|
| $k_0 / \text{cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-29} [\text{M}]$ | 298 |
| $k_\infty / \text{cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ | 1.7×10^{-12} | 298 |
| <i>Reliability</i> | | |
| $\Delta \log k_0$ | ± 0.2 | 298 |
| $\Delta \log k_\infty$ | ± 0.2 | 298 |

Comments on Preferred Values

The determinations of the rate coefficient for this reaction indicate that the reaction of CH₂OO with CH₃CHO is pressure dependent. This is assigned to pressure quenching (k_q) of the initially formed ozonide, which otherwise decomposes to HCHO (k_d). Stone et al. (2014) presented a Stern-Volmer analysis of the pressure dependence of the HCHO yields, corrected for any HCHO production from CH₂IO₂ in the source chemistry. The Stern–Volmer plot gave an intercept of 1.19 ± 0.39 and slope (k_q/k_d) of $(1.09 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3$. Assuming an intercept of 1, the estimated yield of HCHO of 88 % at 4 Torr, and a yield of 4 % at 730 Torr, reconciling the results of Taatjes et al. (2012) and Horie et al. (1999). Taatjes et al. also observed acetic acid as a product at low pressure.

Stone et al. (2014) used the results of Taatjes et al. at 4 Torr ($k = 9.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) with their own results at 25 Torr and at 50 Torr, together with the determination of k_q/k_d from the Stern–Volmer plot ($(1.09 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3$), to obtain estimates for the low and high pressure limits defining the pressure dependence of k over the atmospheric range, using a simple Lindemann-Hinshelwood mechanism for chemical activation. These form the basis of our 298 K preferred values, with k_∞ applying at pressures above about 100 Torr. The preferred value of k_∞ is also supported by the atmospheric pressure rate coefficient reported subsequently by Berndt et al. (2015).

References

- Berndt, T., Kaethner, R., Voigtlander, J., Stratmann, F., Pfeifler, M., Reichler, P., Sipila, M., Kulmala, M., and Olzmann, M.: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 17, 19862, 2015.
- Horie, O., Schafer, C. and G. K. Moortgat: Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 31, 261, 1999.
- Stone, D., Blitz, M., Daubney, L., Howes, N. U. M. and Seakins, P.: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 16, 1139, 2014.
- Taatjes, C. A., Welz, O., Eskola, A. J., Savee, J. D., Osborn, D. L., Lee, E. P. F., Dyke, J.M., Mok, D. W. K., Shallcross, D. E. and Percival, C. J.: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 14, 10391, 2012.