

# IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation – Data Sheet SOx35

Website: <http://iupac.pole-ether.fr>. See website for latest evaluated data. Data sheets can be downloaded for personal use only and must not be retransmitted or disseminated either electronically or in hardcopy without explicit written permission.

This data sheet updated: 19<sup>th</sup> November 2001.

## HS + O<sub>2</sub> → products

### Rate coefficient data

$k/\text{cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	Temp./K	Reference	Technique/ Comments
<i>Absolute Rate Coefficients</i>			
$<4 \times 10^{-17}$	298	Black, 1984 <sup>1</sup>	PLP-LIF
$\leq 1 \times 10^{-17}$	298	Friedl, Brune and Anderson, 1985 <sup>2</sup>	DF-LIF
$<1 \times 10^{-14}$	298	Schoenle, Rahman and Schindler, 1987 <sup>3</sup>	DF-MS
$<4 \times 10^{-19}$	298	Stachnik and Molina, 1987 <sup>4</sup>	PLP-UVA
$<1.5 \times 10^{-17}$	295	Wang, Lovejoy and Howard, 1987 <sup>5</sup>	DF-LMR

### Preferred Values

$k < 4 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 298 K.

#### Comments on Preferred Values

The reaction of HS with O<sub>2</sub> is so slow that attempts to measure the rate coefficient have yielded only upper limits that fall in the range  $4 \times 10^{-19}$  to  $4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 298 K. The preferred value is from the study of Stachnik and Molina,<sup>4</sup> which gives the lowest upper limit and appears reliable.

In a theoretical study by Goumri *et al.*<sup>6</sup>, the kinetics of the reaction  $\text{HS} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HSOO}$  were analyzed using RRKM theory with Gaussian-2 (G2) theory being used to calculate the thermochemistry. Under atmospheric conditions the rate constant is close to the low-pressure limit  $k_0 = 9.2 \times 10^{-34} (\text{T}/298)^{-1.69} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ molecule}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for T = 200-400 K. The low value of the S-O bond dissociation energy ( $91.5 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ ) implies that HSOO formation is unimportant in the atmosphere.

### References

- <sup>1</sup> G. Black, *J. Chem. Phys.* **80**, 1103 (1984).
- <sup>2</sup> R. R. Friedl, W. H. Brune, and J. G. Anderson, *J. Phys. Chem.* **89**, 5505 (1985).
- <sup>3</sup> G. Schoenle, M. M. Rahman, and R. N. Schindler, *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.* **91**, 66 (1987).
- <sup>4</sup> R. A. Stachnik and M. J. Molina, *J. Phys. Chem.* **91**, 4603 (1987).
- <sup>5</sup> N. S. Wang, E. R. Lovejoy, and C. J. Howard, *J. Phys. Chem.* **91**, 5743 (1987).
- <sup>6</sup> A. Goumri, J-D. R. Rocha, and P. Marshall, *J. Phys. Chem.* **99**, 10834 (1995).