

IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation – Data Sheet ROO_50

Website: <http://iupac.pole-ether.fr>. See website for latest evaluated data. Data sheets can be downloaded for personal use only and must not be retransmitted or disseminated either electronically or in hardcopy without explicit written permission. The citation for this data sheet is: IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation, <http://iupac.pole-ether.fr>.

This data sheet last evaluated: June 2010; last change in preferred values: June 2010.



Rate coefficient data ($k = k_1 + k_2$)

$k/\text{cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	Temp./K	Reference	Technique/ Comments
<i>Absolute Rate Coefficients</i>			
$(9^{+9}_{-4.5}) \times 10^{-12}$	298	Stevens et al., 1999	DF-LIF (b)
$(2.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-11}$	295	Reitz et al., 2002	PLP-LIF (c)
$(1.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-11}$	300	Chuong et al., 2001	DF-LIF (d)
$(9 \pm 3) \times 10^{-12}$	298	Zhang et al., 2003	DF-CIMS (e)
$(8.8 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-12}$	298	Miller et al., 2004	DF-CIMS (f)
$(9 \pm 3) \times 10^{-12}$	298	Park et al., 2004	PLP-LIF (g)
$(8.1^{+3.4}_{-2.3}) \times 10^{-12}$	298	Ghosh et al., 2010	PLP-LIF (h)
<i>Branching ratios</i>			
$k_2/k \approx 0.08\text{--}0.14$ (987 mbar)	298	Tuazon and Atkinson, 1990	UVP-FTIR (i)
$k_2/k = 0.044 \pm 0.008$ (991 mbar)	298	Chen et al., 1998	UVP-GC-CL (j)
$k_2/k = 0.15 \pm 0.10$ (133 mbar)	298	Chuong et al., 2001	DF-LIF (d)
$k_2/k = 0.12 \pm 0.07$ (1000 mbar)	297	Sprengnether et al., 2002	DF-FTIR (k)
$k_2/k = 0.08 \pm 0.06$ (593 mbar)			
$k_2/k = 0.07 \pm 0.03$ (133 mbar)	298	Patchen et al., 2007	DF-CIMS (l)
$k_2/k = 0.07^{+0.025}_{-0.015}$ (1013 mbar*)	295	Lockwood et al., 2010	UVP-GC-CL (m)

Comments

- HOC₅H₈O₂ is used here to represent the set of isomeric peroxy radicals formed from sequential addition of HO and O₂ to isoprene (2-methyl-but-1,3-diene).
- HO radicals generated from the reaction of F atoms with H₂O, following production of F from microwave discharge of CF₄-He mixtures. HO monitored by LIF. HO concentration-time profiles, when added to isoprene-NO-O₂-He mixtures, used to investigate the kinetics of HO removal and recycling at a total pressure of 2.7 mbar (2 Torr). Value of k determined from optimisation of simulated HO profiles to those observed, using a 9 reaction mechanism.
- Laser flash photolysis of H₂O₂-isoprene-O₂-NO-N₂ mixtures at 248 nm, with HO monitored by LIF. HO concentration-time profiles used to investigate the kinetics of HO removal and recycling at a total pressure of 4–5.3 mbar (3–4 Torr). Value of k determined from optimisation of simulated HO profiles to those observed, using a 7 reaction mechanism.

- (d) HO radicals generated from the reaction of F atoms with H₂O, following production of F from microwave discharge of CF₄-He mixtures. HO monitored by LIF. HO concentration-time profiles, when added to isoprene-NO-O₂-Ar mixtures, used to investigate the kinetics of HO removal and recycling, in a high pressure turbulent flow system at total pressures between 133 mbar and 200 mbar (100–150 Torr). Values of k and k_2/k determined from optimisation of simulated HO profiles to those observed, using an explicit reaction mechanism containing over 50 reactions.
- (e) HO radicals generated from the reaction of H atoms with NO₂, following production of H from microwave discharge of H₂-He mixtures. HOC₅H₈O₂ radicals generated in HO-isoprene-O₂-NO-N₂ mixtures in a flow system at 1.3 – 2.7 mbar (1–2 Torr), and monitored as a negative ion following reaction with SF₆⁻. The HOC₅H₈O₂ concentration displayed complex kinetic behaviour, indicative of chain regeneration of HOC₅H₈O₂. Value of k determined from optimisation of simulated HOC₅H₈O₂ profiles to those observed, using a 12 reaction mechanism.
- (f) HO radicals generated from the reaction of F atoms with H₂O, following production of F from microwave discharge of CF₄-He mixtures. HOC₅H₈O₂ radicals generated in HO-isoprene-O₂-N₂ mixtures in a high pressure turbulent flow system at 133 mbar (100 Torr), and monitored via proton transfer following reaction with H⁺(H₂O)_n. Kinetics determined under pseudo-first order conditions by sequential addition of an HO scavenger (but-1-ene) followed by the reagent NO. The HO scavenger was added at a sufficient excess concentration to preclude significant regeneration of HOC₅H₈O₂ under the experimental conditions, which was confirmed through simulations of the system using a 10 reaction mechanism.
- (g) Laser flash photolysis of H₂O₂-isoprene-O₂-NO-N₂ mixtures at 248 nm, with HO monitored by LIF. HO concentration-time profiles used to investigate the kinetics of HO recycling at a total pressure of 5.3 mbar (4 Torr). Value of k determined from optimisation of simulated HO profiles to those observed, using an explicit reaction mechanism containing 14 reactions. Result reported to be insensitive to adopted value of k_2/k , which was subsequently fixed at 0.05 for the experimental conditions.
- (h) Laser flash photolysis of 2-iodo-2-methylbut-3-en-1-ol-O₂-NO-Ar mixtures at 248 nm., with production and cycling of HO monitored by LIF. Photolysis of 2-iodo-2-methylbut-3-en-1-ol generates the HOC₅H₈ isomer which corresponds to the major channel for HO addition to isoprene, and subsequently a targeted subset of the HOC₅H₈O₂ isomers. HO concentration-time profiles used to investigate the kinetics of HO production and recycling at a total pressure of 66 mbar (50 Torr). Value of k determined from optimisation of simulated HO profiles to those observed, using an explicit reaction mechanism containing 25 reactions. Result reported to be insensitive to adopted value of k_2/k , which was subsequently fixed at 0.15 for the experimental conditions.
- (i) Blacklight photolysis of CH₃ONO-isoprene-NO-air mixtures in a 7.9 m³ chamber. The total yield of isoprene nitrates relative to isoprene consumed was estimated on the basis of IR band strengths for –ONO₂ groups, as quantified previously for alkyl nitrates.
- (j) Blacklight photolysis of (CH₃)₂CHONO-isoprene-NO-air mixtures in a 5 m³ chamber. Formation of 7 isoprene nitrate isomers was detected through separation in a capillary chromatographic column and quantification by pyrolytic conversion to NO₂, followed by chemiluminescent reaction of NO₂ with luminol. One isoprene nitrate isomer reported to dominate the distribution, accounting for 45–65% of the total. Reported value of k_2/k based on the total yield of isoprene nitrate isomers relative to isoprene consumed (measured using GC-FID)..
- (k) HO₂ radicals generated from the reaction of H atoms with O₂, following production of H from microwave discharge of H₂-He mixtures. HO₂ added to isoprene-NO-air mixtures in a

high pressure wall-less flow system at 593 or 1000 mbar (445 Torr or 750 Torr). HO formation from reaction of HO₂ with excess NO allows consumption of isoprene to be initiated. Products quantified by long-path FTIR spectroscopy at small consumptions of isoprene by a procedure involving modulating the radical source to obtain difference spectra. With support from DFT calculations, IR band strengths for the –ONO₂ groups in isoprene nitrates were based on that quantified for (CH₃)₂CHONO₂.

- (l) HO radicals generated from the reaction of F atoms with H₂O, following production of F from microwave discharge of CF₄-He mixtures. HO added to isoprene-NO-air mixtures in a high pressure turbulent flow system at 133 mbar (100 Torr). Products monitored via proton transfer following reaction with H⁺(H₂O)_n. Relative flux through channels (1) and (2) determined from the measured formation of isoprene nitrates and NO₂. The latter was corrected for secondary formation, which was typically calculated to account for about 30% of the observed total NO₂ on the basis of simulations using a 10 reaction mechanism.
- (m) Blacklight photolysis of isoprene-HONO-NO-NO₂-air mixtures in a 5.5 m³ chamber. Formation of 8 isoprene nitrate isomers was detected using GC-ECD, with three isomers positively identified using synthesised standards. The total isoprene nitrate yield was quantified by a procedure involving pyrolytic conversion to NO₂, photolytic conversion of NO₂ to NO, and chemiluminescent reaction of NO with ozone. The 4,3, 1,2 and 4,1 isomers were reported to account for 90% of the total yield. Reported value of k_2/k based on the total yield of isoprene nitrate isomers relative to isoprene consumed (measured using GC-FID).

Preferred Values

Parameter	Value	T/K
$k/\text{cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	8.8×10^{-12}	298
k_1/k	0.90 (at 1013 mbar)	298
k_2/k	0.10 (at 1013 mbar)	298
<i>Reliability</i>		
$\Delta \log k$	± 0.1	298
$\Delta k_1/k$	± 0.05	298
$\Delta k_2/k$	± 0.05	298

Comments on Preferred Values

The sequential addition of HO and O₂ to isoprene generates a set of six structurally isomeric peroxy radicals, of which four possess β -hydroxy groups and two possess δ -hydroxy groups. Each of the δ -hydroxy peroxy radicals may also exist in E- and Z- forms. With the exception of the recent study of Ghosh et al. (2010), the reported determinations of k have considered reaction of NO with the entire population of HOC₃H₈O₂ radicals formed following addition of HO to isoprene. Through use of a specific iodo-substituted precursor (see comment (h)), Ghosh et al. (2010) selectively studied the subset of peroxy radicals formed from the addition of HO at carbon 1 in isoprene (i.e., 2-methyl-but-1,3-diene); leading to a population containing one β -hydroxy isomer and one δ -hydroxy isomer, with the latter present in E- and Z- forms.

The preferred value of k is based on the results of Miller et al. (2004), in which the time dependence of the $\text{HOC}_5\text{H}_8\text{O}_2$ isomer population was monitored directly under pseudo-first order conditions. However, with the exception of the results of Reitz et al. (2002), the preferred value is consistent with the other reported values, which were all determined by optimising simulations of chain recycling of HO or $\text{HOC}_5\text{H}_8\text{O}_2$ using an explicit mechanism. The higher rate coefficient reported by Reitz et al. (2002) is taken to be superseded by that of Park et al. (2004), from the same laboratory, which employed a very similar methodology. The lack of evidence of differential reactivity for the $\text{HOC}_5\text{H}_8\text{O}_2$ isomers in any of the studies, and particularly the consistent value reported by Ghosh et al. (2010) for a targeted subset of the population, suggests that the preferred value of k can reasonably be assigned to the reactions of each of the individual $\text{HOC}_5\text{H}_8\text{O}_2$ isomers. This is also generally consistent with the wider kinetics database of reactions of NO with hydrocarbon-derived RO_2 radicals, which generally show little sensitivity to the size or structure of the organic group (e.g., see discussion in Miller et al., 2004).

The preferred values of the branching ratios are based on a least squares fit to all the reported determinations of k_2/k , assuming a relative pressure dependence equivalent to that recommended for C_5 alkyl peroxy radicals (Arey et al., 2001; Aschmann et al., 2006). This leads to a value of 0.10 at 1013 mbar (760 Torr), falling to about 0.04 at 133 mbar (100 Torr). It is noted that the preferred value of k_2/k at 1013 mbar is also consistent with those reported in a number of chamber evaluations of isoprene oxidation in which detailed mechanisms have been optimised, which lie in the range 0.09–0.12 (Carter and Atkinson, 1996; Pinho et al., 2005; Paulot et al., 2009). However, the reported determinations show some variability and further studies are clearly required to reduce the uncertainties in this parameter. Systematic studies as a function of temperature and pressure would also be valuable.

In contrast to the kinetics discussed above, the broader database of peroxy radical reactions indicates that the branching ratio for organic nitrate formation is sensitive to the structure of the organic group and to the presence of oxygenated functional groups. Given that the $\text{HOC}_5\text{H}_8\text{O}_2$ isomers can be primary, secondary or tertiary, possess allyl functionalities and either β - or δ -hydroxy groups, it is highly probable that k_2/k varies from one $\text{HOC}_5\text{H}_8\text{O}_2$ isomer to another; and recent studies tend to confirm this (Paulot et al., 2009; Lockwood et al., 2010). The preferred value thus represents a weighted average value for the peroxy radical population. Additional determinations of k_2/k for specific isomers (or subsets of isomers) would therefore be informative.

References

- Arey, J., Aschmann, S. M., Kwok, E. S. C., and Atkinson, R.: J. Phys. Chem. A, 105, 1020-1027, 2001.
- Aschmann, S. M., Long, W.D. and Atkinson R.: J. Phys. Chem. A, 110, 6617-6622, 2006.
- Carter, W. P. L. and Atkinson, R.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 22, 1221-1236, 1996.
- Chen, X., Hulbert, D., and Shepson, P. B.: J. Geophys. Res., 103, 25 563–25 568, 1998.
- Chuong, B. and Stevens, P. S.: J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4162, doi:10.1029/2001JD000865, 2002.
- Ghosh, B., Bugarin, A., Connell, B.T. and North, S.W.: J. Phys. Chem. A, 6037, 2010.
- Lockwood, A. L., Shepson, P. B., Fiddler, M. N. and Alaghmand, M.: Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 10625, 2010.
- Miller, A. M., Yeung, L. Y., Kiep, A. C. and Elrod, M. J.: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 6, 3402 – 3407, 2004.
- Park, J, Jongsma, C. G., Zhang, R. Y. and North, S. W.: J. Phys. Chem. A, 108(48), 10688-10697, 2004.

Patchen, A. K., Pennino, M. J., Kiep, A. C. and Elrod, M. J.: *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 39, 353–361, 2007.

Paulot, F., Crouse, J. D., Kjaergaard, Kroll, J. H., Seinfeld, J. H. and Wennberg, P. O.: *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(4):1479–1501, 2009.

Pinho, P. G., Pio, C. A. and Jenkin, M. E.: *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 1303–1322, 2005.

Reitz, J. E., McGivern, W. S., Church, M. C., Wilson, M. D. and North, S. W.: *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 34, 255–261, 2002.

Sprengnether, M., Demerjian, K. L., Donahue, N. M. and Anderson, J. G.: *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D15):4268, doi: 10.1029/2001JD000716, 2002.

Stevens, P., L'Esperance, D., Chuong, B. and Martin, G.: *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 31, 637–643, 1999.

Tuazon, E. C. and Atkinson, R.: *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 22, 1221–1236, 1990.

Zhang, D., Zhang, R. and North, S. W.: *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 107, 11013–11019, 2003.

