# IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation – Data Sheet HOx13

Website: <a href="http://iupac.pole-ether.fr">http://iupac.pole-ether.fr</a>. See website for latest evaluated data. Data sheets can be downloaded for personal use only and must not be retransmitted or disseminated either electronically or in hardcopy without explicit written permission. This data sheet updated: 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2001.

 $HO + O_3 \rightarrow HO_2 + O_2$ 

 $\Delta H^{\circ} = -167.4 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ 

#### Rate coefficient data

k/cm³ molecule-1 s-1	Temp./K	Reference	Technique/ Comments
Absolute Rate Coefficients			
$1.3 \times 10^{-12} \exp(-956/T)$	220-450	Anderson and Kaufman, 1973 <sup>1</sup>	DF-RF
$5.3 \times 10^{-14}$	298		
$(6.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-14}$	298	Kurylo, 1973 <sup>2</sup>	FP-RF
$1.82 \times 10^{-12} \exp[-(930 \pm 50)/T]$	238-357	Ravishankara, Wine and	PLP-RF
$(7.96 \pm 0.39) \times 10^{-14}$	298	Langford, 1979 <sup>3</sup>	
$(6.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-14}$	300	Zahniser and Howard, 1980 <sup>4</sup>	DF-LMR (a)
$1.52 \times 10^{-12} \exp[-(890 \pm 60)/T]$	240-295	Smith <i>et al.</i> , 1984 <sup>5</sup>	FP-RF (b)
$(7.46 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-14}$	295		
$2.26 \times 10^{-12} \exp[-(976 \pm 50)/T]$	190-315	Nizkorodov et al., 2000 <sup>6</sup>	PLP-AS (c)
Relative Rate Coefficients			
$(7.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-14}$	300	Zahniser and Howard, 1980 <sup>4</sup>	DF-LMR (a)

#### **Comments**

- (a) Discharge flow system used. HO radicals were generated from the H + NO<sub>2</sub> reaction and monitored by LMR.
- (b) Flash photolysis of O<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures in 1 atm He. HO radicals were monitored by resonance fluorescence.
- (c) Pulsed laser photolysis of  $O_3$ - $H_2O$  mixtures with OH detection by infrared laser spectroscopy. The sum of rate coefficients for OH +  $O_3$  and  $HO_2$  +  $O_3$  reaction ( $k + k(HO_2 + O_3)$ ) was measured density and was described accurately by the Arrhenius expression over the entire temperature range. If the recommended expression for  $k(HO_2 + O_3)$  from this evaluation is and the resultant values of k are in excellent agreement with those from Ravishankara  $et\ al.^3$  and Smith  $et\ al.^5$
- (d) Discharge flow system used. HO radicals were generated from the H + NO<sub>2</sub> and H + O<sub>3</sub> reactions, and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals were generated from the reaction H + O<sub>2</sub> + M. HO<sub>2</sub> and HO radicals were monitored by LMR. A rate coefficient ratio of  $k/k(HO_2 + O_3) = 35 \pm 4$  (average of three systems

studied) was obtained and placed on an absolute basis by use of  $k(HO_2 + O_3) = 2.0 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^3$  molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 300 K (this evaluation).

#### **Preferred Values**

```
k = 7.3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K}.
k = 1.70 \times 10^{-12} \exp(-940/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ over the temperature range } 220-450 \text{ K}.
```

### Reliability

```
\Delta \log k = \pm 0.15 at 298 K. \Delta (E/R) = \pm 300 K.
```

## Comments on Preferred Values

There is good agreement among the various studies<sup>1-5</sup> for the rate coefficient k. The recommended value for E/R is the mean of the values of Ravishankara  $et\ al.^3$ , Smith  $et\ al.^5$  and Nizkorodov  $et\ al.^6$  except that the Anderson and Kaufman<sup>1</sup> study gave a somewhat slower rate, probably due to OH regeneration from the  $HO_2$  + NO reaction which has been revised upwards since this early study. The recommended 298 K rate coefficient is the mean of the values from these studies <sup>1,3,5</sup> plus those of Kurylo<sup>2</sup> and Zahniser and Howard<sup>4</sup>. The pre-exponential factor is derived from the recommended values of E/R and the 298 K rate coefficient.

#### References

- <sup>1</sup> J. G. Anderson and F. Kaufman, Chem. Phys. Lett. **19**, 483 (1973).
- <sup>2</sup> M. J. Kurylo, Chem. Phys. Lett. **23**, 467 (1973).
- <sup>3</sup> A. R. Ravishankara, P. H. Wine, and A. O. Langford, J. Chem. Phys. **70**, 984 (1979).
- <sup>4</sup> M. S. Zahniser and C. J. Howard, J. Chem. Phys. **73**, 1620 (1980).
- <sup>5</sup> C. A. Smith, L. T. Molina, J. J. Lamb, and M. J. Molina, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. **16**, 41 (1984).
- <sup>6</sup> S. A. Nizkorodov, W. W. Harper, B. W. Blackman, D. J. Nesbitt, J. Phys. Chem. A **104**, 3964 (2000).