# IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation – Data Sheet HOx11

Website: <a href="http://iupac.pole-ether.fr">http://iupac.pole-ether.fr</a>. See website for latest evaluated data. Data sheets can be downloaded for personal use only and must not be retransmitted or disseminated either electronically or in hardcopy without explicit written permission.

This data sheet updated: 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2001.

$$HO + HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O + O_2$$

 $\Delta H^{\circ} = -294.6 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ 

## Rate coefficient data

k/cm³ molecule-1 s-1	Temp./K	Reference	Technique/ Comments
Absolute Rate Coefficients $(1.1 + 0.28 - 0.39) \times 10^{-10} (1 \text{ bar N}_2)$	298	Braun, Hofzumahuas, and Stuhl, 1982 <sup>1</sup>	FP-UVA
$(1.1 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-10}$ $4.8 \times 10^{-11} \exp[(250 \pm 50)/T]$ $(1.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-10}$	298 254-383 299	Dransfeld and Wagner, 1986 <sup>2</sup> Keyser, 1988 <sup>3</sup>	DF-LMR DF-RF
$(8.0 + 3.0 - 2.0) \times 10^{-11}$	298	Schwab, Brune, and Anderson, 1989 <sup>4</sup>	DF-LMR
3.3 x 10 <sup>-11</sup> 1.8 x 10 <sup>-11</sup> 7.5 x 10 <sup>-11</sup>	1100 1250 1600	Hippler, Neunaber and Troe, 1995 <sup>5</sup>	(a)

#### **Comments**

(a) Thermal decomposition of  $H_2O_2$  in a shock tube. HO radicals were monitored by resonance absorption.

#### **Preferred Values**

 $k = 1.1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K}.$  $k = 4.8 \times 10^{-11} \exp(250/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ over the temperature range } 250-400 \text{ K}.$ 

## Reliability

 $\Delta \log k = \pm 0.1$  at 298 K.  $\Delta (E/R) = \pm 200$  K.

# Comments on Preferred Values

There has been some controversy over the effects of pressure on the rate coefficient for this reaction. Early discharge-flow measurements at low pressures of 1.3-13 mbar (1-10 Torr) consistently gave values of k approximately a factor of 2 lower than those obtained by other techniques at pressures close to atmospheric. The discharge-flow study of Keyser<sup>1</sup> appears to have resolved the problem. These results<sup>1</sup>

suggest that the presence of small quantities of H and O atoms present in previous discharge-flow studies led to erroneously low values of k, and that there is no evidence for any variation in k with pressure. These findings<sup>1</sup> are accepted and we take the expression of Keyser<sup>1</sup> for k as our recommendation. There are a number of other studies in excellent agreement with the value recommended for k at 298 K, including relative rate studies of De More<sup>6</sup> and Cox, Burrows and Wallington<sup>7</sup> which demonstrate that k(298 K) is unaffected by  $H_2O$  pressure up to 21 mbar. The high temperature data suggest non-Arrhenius temperature dependence at temperatures above the range of our recommendation.

In another discharge-flow study, Keyser *et al.*,<sup>8</sup> by monitoring the  $O_2(b^1\Sigma) \to X(^3\Sigma)$  transition at 762 nm, have shown that the yield of  $O_2(b^1\Sigma)$  from the reaction is small (<1 x 10<sup>-3</sup>). The anomalous temperature dependence observed in the recent high temperature study<sup>2</sup> suggests a mechanism involving intermediate complex formation.

#### References

- <sup>1</sup> M. Braun, A. Hofzumahaus, and F. Stuhl, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. **86**, 597 (1982).
- P. Dransfield and H.Gg. Wagner, Z. Naturforsch. **42a**, 471 (1986).
- <sup>3</sup> F. Keyser, J. Phys. Chem. **92**, 1193 (1988).
- <sup>4</sup> J. J. Schwab, w. H. Brune, and J. G. Anderson, J. Phys. Chem. **93**, 1030 (1989).
- <sup>5</sup> H. Hippler, N. Neunaber, and J. Troe, J. Chem. Phys. **103**, 3510 (1995).
- <sup>6</sup> W. B. De More, J. Phys. Chem. **86**, 121 (1982).
- <sup>7</sup> R. A. Cox, J. P. Burrows, and T. J. Wallington, Chem. Phys. Lett. **85**, 217 (1982).
- <sup>8</sup> L. F. Keyser, K. Y. Choo, and M. T. Leu, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. **17**, 1169 (1985).