IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation - Data Sheet AQ_OH_4

Datasheets can be downloaded for personal use only and must not be retransmitted or disseminated either electronically or in hardcopy without explicit written permission. The citation for this datasheet is: IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation, <u>http://iupac.pole-ether.fr</u>.

This datasheet last evaluated: May 2017; last change in preferred values: May 2016

OH (aq) + CH ₃ CHOHCH ₃ (aq)	\rightarrow	CH ₃ COHCH ₃ (aq)	(85.5%)
		+ CH ₃ CHOCH ₃ (aq)	(1.2%)
		+ CH ₂ CHOHCH ₃ (aq)	(13.3%)
		$+ H_2O(l)$	

(Product distributions taken from Buxton et al., 1988, originally determined by Asmus et al., 1973 via PR - UV/Vis)

 ΔG_R° (aq): Aqueous phase thermochemical data not available For comparison: ΔH_R° (g) = -58.8 kJ·mol⁻¹ (gas phase data sheet HOx_VOC26)

$k/1 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	T/K	рН	<i>I</i> / mol 1 ⁻¹	Reference	Technique/ Comments
		Absolute I	Rate Coefficients		
2.0×10^{9}	-	nat.	No ionic	Janata,	PR/UV-vis
		(slightly	additives	2002	abs. (a)
		< pH 7)			
2.0×10^{9}	-	nat.	No ionic	Alam et al.,	PR/UV-vis
		(slightly	additives	2003	abs. (b)
(2.1	200	<ph 7)<="" td=""><td>0</td><td></td><td></td></ph>	0		
$(2.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{9}$	298	5.8			LP/LPA (c1)
$(2.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{9}$			0.5(0.46)		
$(3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{9}$			1.0(0.85) 1.5(1.21)		
$(4.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{9}$			1.3(1.21) 20(1.52)	Hesper,	
$(4.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{9}$			2.0(1.33) 2.5(1.83)	2003	
$(4.2 \pm 1.4) \times 10^9$			30(211)		
$(4.6 \pm 3.3) \times 10^9$			5.0 (2.11)	-	
$6.1 \times 10^{10} \exp[-$	288-328				(c2)
(1000 ± 300) K/T]					
Relative Rate Coefficients					
only relative rate	295-298	9	-	Kraljic et al.,	CW-radiolysis
constant				1965	/UV-vis abs.
					(d)
3.9×10^{9}	-	7	-	Adams et al.,	PR/UV-vis
				1965	abs. (e)
1.6×10^{9}	-	-	1.0	Heckel et al.,	PR/UV-vis
				1965	abs. (f)
$(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^9$	-	2.0-2.2	-	Scholes et al.,	CW-radiolysis
				1965	/UV-vis abs.
					(g)
1.74×10^{3}	-	7	-	Thomas,	PR/UV-vis

Rate coefficient data

				1965	abs. (h)
only relative rate	293	7	-	Woodward et	CW-radiolysis
constant				al., 1966	(i)
1.3×10^{9}	-	6	-	Anbar et al.,	CW-radiolysis
				1966	/UV-vis abs.
0					(j ₁)
1.2×10^{9}	-	9	-	Anbar et al.,	CW-radiolysis
				1966	/UV-vis abs.
0					(J ₂)
1.8×10^{9}	-	2	-	Scholes and	CW-radiolysis
1.8×10^{9}		5		Willson,	/UV-vis abs.
1.05 1.09				1967	
1.25×10^{2}	-	-	-	Greenstock et	PR/UV-VIS
1.5 109	20.4	7	Naionia	al., 1908	DD/LIV/ wig
1.5×10	294	/	additives	al 1060	PR/UV-VIS
2.01×10^9			additives	Willson et al	CW radiolysis
2.01 × 10	-	-	-	1971	/UV-vis
				17/1	abs(n)
$(1.92 \pm 0.13) \times$	291-298	69	_	Prütz et al	CW-
$(1.92 \pm 0.15) \times 10^9$	291 290	0.9		1976	radiolysis/fluor
10				1970	escence
					detection (o1)
$(2.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^9$	291-298	7	-	Prütz et al.,	CW-
(1976	radiolysis/fluor
					escence
					detection (o2)
1.7×10^{9}	291-298	10.4	-	Prütz et al.,	CW-
				1976	radiolysis/fluor
					escence
0			2		detection (o3)
$(1.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^9$	-	6	0.3×10^{-3}	Wolfenden and	PR/UV-vis
0			2	Willson, 1982	abs. (p)
2.3×10^{9}	292	-	$2-10 \times 10^{-5}$		PR/UV-vis
<u> </u>	202 252			Elliot and	abs. (q1)
$1.79 \times 10^{10} \exp[-$	292 - 352			Simsons,	(q2)
(5000±500)/R(1/				1984	
<u> </u>	20.9			Duvitor at al	Decemented
1.9×10^{2}	298	-	-	Buxion et al.,	volue (r)
1 (109		7.5		1900 Motohashi and	CW irrediction
1.0×10		1.5	-	Saito 1003	/HPI C (s)
$(1.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^9$	298	1_2		Sano, 1995	
$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10$	270	1-2			GC-FID (t1)
$1.6 \times 10^9 \text{ evo}[-$	292 - 352		1	Monod et al.,	
$5000/R(1/T_{-})$				2005	(t2)
1/298K)]					()

Comments

(a) Radicals generated by pulse-radiolysis, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy; direct observation of optical absorption of the alcohol radicals (260-290 nm);

computer simulations were used to derive the rate constants; the simulations were accurate to 5%; N_2O saturated solutions

- (b) Essentially the same article as (a)
- (c) Radicals generated by laser photolysis, products analysed by UV-vis abs. (Long Path Absorption) (248 nm); direct observation of optical absorption of the peroxylradicals formed by the reaction between ·OH, 2-propanol and O₂; oxygen saturated solutions (c1): determination of ion strength influence; NaClO₄ was used to adjust the ion strength ; the numbers given in parenthesis refer to the calculated effective ion strength; (c2): determination of the temperature influence from 288-328 K; the Arrhenius expression was calculated using these values
- (d) Radicals generated by cw-irradiation, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy (440 nm); Reference reaction: $\cdot OH + PNDA$ (p-nitrosodimethylaniline); the absolute rate constant of the reference reaction was not determined but only roughly estimated to be in the range of 1×10^{10} ; the relative rate constant was determined to be 17.0 (relative to $k(\cdot OH + PNDA = 100)$); the relative rate constant has an error of about $\pm 5\%$
- (e) Radicals generated by pulse-radiolysis, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy (500 nm); Carbonate, thiocyanate or selenite were used as reference systems; here: thiocyanate reference: \cdot OH + SCN⁻; $k(\cdot$ OH + SCN⁻) = 6.6 × 10⁹ M⁻¹s⁻¹; No exact value is given for the initial concentrations of the reactants ('a few millimolar'); air or oxygen saturated solutions
- (f) Radicals generated by pulse-radiolysis, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy (450 nm); Reference reaction: $\cdot OH + HSO_4^-$; $k(\cdot OH + HSO_4^-) = 8.0 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ was taken from Adams et al. (1965) ; the absolute rate constant for the reaction $\cdot OH + i$ -propanol was calculated from the relative value (k = 3.6) listed in Table 1, which was normalized to the methanol reaction ($k(\cdot OH + \text{methanol}) = 4.4 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$)
- (g) Radicals generated by cw-irradiation, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy (264 nm); Reference reaction: \cdot OH + thymine; $k(\cdot$ OH + thymine) = $3.1 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$; the reactions were studied with thymine concentrations between 8 $20 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol/l}$; air or oxygen saturated solutions
- (h) Radicals generated by pulse-radiolysis, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy (400 nm); Reference reaction: $\cdot OH + \Gamma$; $k(\cdot OH + \Gamma) = 1.02 \pm 0.13 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$; no values given for concentrations. NIST lists the value as $1.9 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, referring to $k(\cdot OH + \Gamma) = 1.1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$. http://kinetics.nist.gov/solution/Detail?id=1965THO702-707:13
- (i) Radicals generated by cw-irradiation, yields of products were determined by UV-vis abs., chromatography and other methods not described in the article; Reference reaction: $\cdot OH + NO$; $k(\cdot OH + NO) = 6.0 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$; the relative rate constant was determined to be 1.0 (relative to $k(\cdot OH + \text{ethanol} = 1.0)$)
- (j) Radicals generated by cw-irradiation, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy; (j₁): Reference reaction: $\cdot OH + Br^{-}$; (j₂): Reference reaction: $\cdot OH + PNDA$ (pnitrosodimethylaniline); no values given for initial concentrations; no values given

for the reference rate constants; air saturated solutions; all experiments were repeated at least four times and the coefficient of variation was less than $\pm 10\%$

- (k) Radicals generated by cw-irradiation, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy (264 nm); Reference reaction: $\cdot OH + \text{thymine}$; $k(\cdot OH + \text{thymine}) = 4.3 \pm 1 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$; $c(\text{thymine}) = 8 \times 10^{-5} 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol/l}$; The rate constant of the reference reaction was determined relative to benzene; aerated solutions; The absolute rate constants in table 3 have an error of about $\pm 25\%$
- (1) Radicals generated by pulse-radiolysis, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy (500 nm); Reference reaction: OH + SCN⁻; k(·OH + SCN⁻) = 7.5 ± 0.5 × 10⁹ M⁻¹s⁻¹; c(KSCN) = 2 × 10⁻³ mol/l (ionic strength might differ, as the pH is not given); aerated solutions. NIST lists the value as 1.9 × 10⁹ M⁻¹s⁻¹, referring to k(·OH + SCN⁻) = 1.1 × 10¹⁰ M⁻¹s⁻¹. http://kinetics.nist.gov/solution/Detail?id=1968GRE/NG397-417:1
- (m)Radicals generated by pulse-radiolysis, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy (440 nm); Reference reaction: \cdot OH + PNDA (p-nitrosodimethylaniline); $k(\cdot$ OH +PNDA) = $1.25 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$; no values given for concentrations; aerated solutions
- (n) Radicals generated by cw-irradiation, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy (410 nm); Reference reaction: $\cdot OH + [Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}$; $k(\cdot OH + [Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}) = 0.93 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$; $c([Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}) = 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol/l}$; The reference rate constants listed in table 1 were re-calculated using $k(\cdot OH + \text{ethanol}) = 1.85 \times 10^{9} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$; in most reactions air was present or the solutions were saturated with N₂O. NIST lists the value as $2.3 \times 10^{9} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, referring to $k(\cdot OH + [Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}) = 1.0 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$.

http://kinetics.nist.gov/solution/Detail?id=1971WIL/GRE211-220:21

- (o) Radicals generated by cw-irradiation, products analysed by fluorescence measurements using acriflavin ((o1) and (o2)) and Fluorescein ((o3)) as RCL(Radiation Induced Chemiluminescence)-dye; The absolute rate constants were obtained by comparing the slopes of the emission yields plotted against the concentrations of the different scavengers and using $k(\cdot OH + methanol) = 8.5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ as reference
- (p) Radicals generated by pulse-radiolysis, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy (415 nm); Reference reaction: \cdot OH + ABTS (2,2'-Azinobis-(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonate)); $k(\cdot$ OH + ABTS) = 1.2×10^{10} M⁻¹s⁻¹, $c(ABTS) = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/l; N₂ and N₂O saturated solutions
- (q) Radicals generated by pulse-radiolysis, products analysed by UV-vis-spectroscopy (420 nm); Reference reaction: $\cdot OH + SCN^{-}$; Rate constants for reference reactions calculated from table 1: $k_{292K}(\cdot OH + SCN^{-}) = 11.0 \times 10^{9} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$; $k_{312K}(\cdot OH + SCN^{-}) = 14.7 \times 10^{9} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$; $k_{332K}(\cdot OH + SCN^{-}) = 20.0 \times 10^{9} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$; $k_{352K}(\cdot OH + SCN^{-}) = 22.9 \times 10^{9} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$; c(KSCN) in the range of 2-10 × 10⁻³ mol/l; N₂O saturated solutions. Arrhenius expression (q2) calculated using data from table 1, considering 10% error of activation energy.
- (r) Buxton et al. chose the value of $1.9 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ as selected value, considering the recalculated values originally determined by Thomas (1965), Scholes and Willson (1967), Greenstock et al. (1968), Willson et al. (1971), Wolffenden and Willson

(1982) and Elliot et al. (1984); for recalculation of rate constants, Buxton et al. used their selected rate constants for the reference reactions.

- (s) Radicals generated by cw-irradiation, products analysed by HPLC (abs. 240-300 nm) and fluorescence measurements (irradiation at 305 nm); Reference reaction: $\cdot OH + benzoate$; $k(\cdot OH + benzoate) = 5.9 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$; $c(benzoate) = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol/l}$; deviations of less than ±5% for the determined rate constants; N₂O saturated solutions
- (t) (t1): Radicals generated by Photo-Fenton-reaction in a bulk reactor (an 'aqueous phase smog chamber' or 'ASC'), products analysed by GC-FID; Reference reaction: •OH + 1-propanol; k(•OH + 1-propanol) = 2.8 × 10⁹ M⁻¹s⁻¹
 (t2): Arrhenius expression for •OH + 2-propanol, given as : k(T) = 1.6 × 10⁹ exp(-5000/R(1/T-1/298K)), was calculated using values determined by Elliot et al. (1984)

Parameter	Value	<i>T</i> /K
$k / 1 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} k / 1 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	2.06×10^9 $8.98 \times 10^{10} \exp[-(1130) / T)]$	298 288 - 352

Preferred Values

Reliability

$\Delta \log k$	± 0.091
$\Delta E_A/R$	± 130

Comments on Preferred Values

Data of Buxton et al. (1988), Hesper (2003) and Monod et al. (2005) have been used for regression to obtain the recommended Arrhenius expression. The error of the recommended room temperature rate constant is estimated.

References

Adams, G.E., Boag, J.W., Currant, J. and Michael, B.D., Pulse Radiolysis, Ebert, M., Keene, J.P., Swallow, A.J. and Baxendale, J.H. (eds.): Academic Press, New York, 131-143, 1965.

Alam, M. S., Rao, B. S. M., and Janata, E.: Radiat. Phys. Chem., 67(6), 723-728, 2003.

Anbar, M., Meyerstein, D., and Neta, P.: J. Chem. Soc. B, 742-747, 1966.

Asmus, K. D., Möckel, H. and Henglein, A.: J. Phys. Chem., 77(10), 1218-1221, 1973.

Baxendale, J. H., and Khan, A. A.: Int. J. Radiat. Phys. Chem., 1(1), 11-24, 1969.

Buxton, G. V., Greenstock, C. L., Helman, W. P., and Ross, A. B.: J. Phys. Chem Ref. Data, 17(2), 513-886, 1988.

Elliot, A. J., and Simsons, A. S.: Radiat. Phys. Chem., 24(2), 229-231, 1984.

Greenstock, C. L., Ng, M., and Hunt, J.: Radiat. Chem. I. Adv. in Chem. Ser, 81, 397-417, 1968.

Heckel, E., Henglein, A., and Beck, G.: Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 70(2), 149-154, 1966.

Hesper, J.: Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, 2003.

Janata, E.: J. Chem. Sci., 114(6), 731-737, 2002.

Kraljić, I. and Trumbore, C. N.: J Am. Chem. Soc., 87(12), 2547-2550, 1965.

Monod, A., Poulain, L., Grubert, S., Voisin, D. and Wortham, H.: Atmos. Environ., 39(40), 7667-7688, 2005.

Motohashi, N. and Saito, Y.: Chem. Pharm Bull., 41(10), 1842-1845, 1993.

Pruetz, W. A. and Vogel, S.: Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci., 31(11), 1501-1510, 1976.

Scholes, G., and Willson, R. L.: Trans. Far. Soc., 63, 2983-2993, 1967.

Scholes, G., Shaw, P., and Willson, R.L.: Pulse Radiolysis, academic press, 61, 1965

Thomas, J. K.: Trans. Faraday Soc., 61, 702-707, 1965.

Willson, R. L., Greenstock, C. L., Adams, G. E., Wageman, R., and Dorfman, L. M.: Int. J. Radiat. Phys. Chem., 3(3), 211-220, 1971.

Wolfenden, B. S., and Willson, R. L.: J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II, 7, 805-812, 1982.

Woodward, T. W., and Sutton, H. C.: Trans. Faraday Soc., 62, 70-80, 1966.



Figure 1: T-dependent rate constants for the reaction of 2-Propanol with OH in aqueous solution. Data from Buxton et al. (1988), Hesper (2003) and Monod et al. (2005).